Case 2:07-cv-04936-MAM Document 55-1 Filed 10/01/10 Page 1 of 6 Q. And your deposition, correct me if I'm wrong, 1 2 believe. dealt with events that you had been involved in from 3 MR. PURICELLI: We're only trying to what date to what date? identify a document. 4 A. Since I adjudicated the incident in August of MR. HENZES: No. You cannot use the fax 5 2007. 3 6 date because, let me see if we --6 Q. Right. What were you doing other than testifying 7 MR. PURICELLI: They put it. 7 about the events of 2007 to need a 2008 adjudication in 8 MR. HENZES: October 9th, 2008. 8 Virginia about David Bush? 9 MR. PURICELLI: Uh-huh. 9 A. Participating in that ongoing civil litigation. 10 MR. HENZES: Order dated entered October 10 Q. So, you read that for the purposes of the lawsuit 11 9th, 2008, from the Juvenile and Domestic Relations 11 that you're in today? 12 District Court, the city of Richmond. 12 A. Sure. 13 BY MR. PURICELLI: 13 Q. Okay. All right. The next document? 14 Q. That particular document, you weren't present for 14 A. Another copy of the correspondence to Newtown 15 any of the court proceedings, right? 15 Township Supervisors to me dated July 31st or from me. 16 A. Correct. 16 Q. Another copy of the August 1 adjudication? 17 Q. And why do you have the court order? 17 A. It's a notification to Sergeant Tripp from me 18 A. It's relevancy to me. 18 August 1st. I didn't determine it to be invalid. 19 Q. We're back to that order. That order talks about 19 Q. Can I see that document for a second? 20 what, in your mind? I know what it says if I read it. 20 MR. HENZES: Oh, yeah. Go ahead. 21 A. I believe the order is discussing the decision by 21 BY MR. PURICELLI: 22 the Juvenile Court disposition of the custody of the 22 A. That is the document we asked Tripp about in that 23 children in Virginia. 23 packet. 24 Q. And that was after any involvement that you had. 24 MR. HENZES: You should have it. 25 Isn't that true? In regard to the CLEAN Investigation? 25 MR. PURICELLI: I know I should have it. 30 32 1 A. Yes, I believe. 1 Sometime during the day, can we get a copy of it? 2 Q. That is -- that order is after any involvement 2 MR. HENZES: Take that. We can get another 3 you had in the Christopher Bush complaint. Isn't that 3 copy. 4 true? 4 MR. PURICELLI: Just turn it sideways. 5 A. No. 5 MR. HENZES: Give it to him. 6 Q. No? 6 MR. PURICELLI: I don't want to take his 7 A. I have had involvement in the Christopher Bush 7 copy. 8 complaint since that order was issued. 8 MR. HENZES: We can find another one. 9 Q. When is that order date again? 9 MR. PURICELLI: I brought the disc with me 10 MR. HENZES: October 9th of 2008. 10 if I have it. 11 MR. PURICELLI: Correct. That's what I 11 MR. HENZES: You said --12 thought. 12 THE WITNESS: Another copy of the assignment 13 BY MR. PURICELLI: 13 report from Trooper McDermott. The same sections from 14 Q. August 1, 2007, is when you put your findings on 14 Lexis-Nexis, appears to be extra copies. 15 the Bush complaint. Isn't that true? 15 MR. HENZES: Okay. 16 A. Yes. 16 BY MR. PURICELLI: 17 Q. 2008, in my calendar, is after the Bush 17 Q. Do you have any problems if I have your extra 18 complaint. Is it the same as yours? 18 copies? 19 A. Yes. 19 A. You know what? I do. I like to have my little 20 Q. What were you doing between August 1, 2007 and 20 file. 1 October of 2008 that involved anything to do with 21 MR. HENZES: They're his copies. Christopher Bush? 22 THE WITNESS: If you want to make a copy and

this case.

A. I testified in the arbitration proceeding. I

testified in the, or I was present at the deposition in

23

24

25

23

24

25

he doesn't object, that's fine.

of what he brought to the deposition?

MR. PURICELLI: Any problem if I get a copy

them to your attorney and he'll make copies?

THE WITNESS: A copy of the victim witness statement form from Evelyn Marie Martin, dated June 20, 2006, victim witness statement form from David Bush dated 2/23/06.

9 MR. PURICELLI: They're part of the Wizner,

10 Trooper? 11

6

7

8

13

14

21

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

18

19

20

1

THE WITNESS: Right, all part of the

12 incident report.

MR. PURICELLI: I'm doing it for the record.

Another copy of that.

15 THE WITNESS: Yes. Various other 16 attachments to the incident report, NCIC, a copy of 17 CLEAN messages.

18 BY MR. PURICELLI:

19 Q. They're all a part of the Wizner report. Isn't 20 that true?

A. Yeah.

22 Q. All right.

> A. Another copy of the 101 from Detective Bush, verification from Detective Bush.

> > MR. HENZES: Another copy.

Filed 10/01/10 Page 2 of 6 Q. You talked to no other persons, right?

2 A. Correct.

55-1

6

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

3

5

10

16

17

23

3 Q. Have you taken or ingested anything that would

4 prevent you from understanding my questions today? 5

Q. Okay. Do you have any physical or mental

7 limitations that would prevent you from understanding my 8 questions?

A. No.

Q. Or from recalling events?

A. I guess that's up for argument, Counsel, whether 12 or not I do or not, but I believe I do not, in my opinion, have any problem understanding them.

Q. That is all that is important.

Is there any physical or mental limitations that would prevent you from sitting and continuing this deposition today?

A. Depends how long we go.

Q. We go up to seven hours. If you need breaks, just go ahead and say.

Have you taken any depositions other than this one before?

23 A. In regard to this case?

Q. No. In regard to anything?

25 A. Yeah. I have taken depositions before.

1 THE WITNESS: Another copy, more attachments 2 from the incident report.

3 BY MR. PURICELLI:

Q. Wizner's?

A. Wizner's incident report.

MR. PURICELLI: Randy, are these all

documents you provided?

MR. HENZES: Yeah.

MR. PURICELLI: I just want to make sure he

10 didn't get it from some other source. 11

MR. HENZES: Everything that he has been

reading that is provided to you, multiple forms,

multiple papers.

THE WITNESS: Copies of various court

15 matters involving David Bush and Sara Nicole Bush from Tarryton County.

16 17 BY MR. PURICELLI:

Q. These are all attached?

A. Wizner reports.

MR. HENZES: Yeah.

MR. PURICELLI: Okay.

BY MR. PURICELLI:

23 Q. Did you review anything other than what we went 24 through today, to prepare for those depositions?

25 A. I didn't believe so, sir.

1 Q. And how many? If you need to estimate, estimate.

2 A. Ten, twelve. That is an estimate.

Q. Okay. Any of those depositions involve your

4 duties as a Pennsylvania State Police Officer?

A. They all did.

6 Q. They all did. And are they all basically the

7 same nature on -- could one have been, like an

automobile accident, not one, another lawsuit against 8

9 you as opposed to you being a witness?

A. Both.

11 Q. All right. How many of those ten to twelve that 12

you estimate, did you testify as only a witness? 13

A. I think one or two.

14 Q. And what were the nature of those concerns, one

15 two or two?

MR. HENZES: Nature of what he testified to?

MR. PURICELLI: Automobile accident,

18 grievances, things like that.

19 THE WITNESS: The one I testified as a

20 witness was a civil suit between a member under my

21 command at one point and his ex-wife.

22 BY MR. PURICELLI:

Q. What is the name of the member? What trooper?

24 A. Connors.

25 Q. When was that suit?

24

real quick. Title 7 is employment law. That means a

conditions of employment because of race, sex, religion,

person was treated differently in terms of the

things like that. You had that training, right?

22

23

24

25

Q. When was that lawsuit initiated?

A. No. I did not.

A. Within the past, probably, six weeks.

Q. Okay. And you gave a deposition on that already?

Case 2:07-cv-04936-MAM Document 55-1

Oh, okay. Have there been any other lawsuits

2 that you have been named in as a party?

A. Yes.

1

3

1

j

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

1

11

12

20

21

Q. Where there's been an allegation that you or someone working with you or you worked with, retaliated against a person for some sort of conduct similar to the type of allegation in this case, the Morganthal case?

A. No. I don't believe there has.

Q. Okay. What are the other types of lawsuits against you?

A. Excessive force, illegal arrest, false arrest, wrongful death.

Q. Are these over the course of your career?

A. Yeah.

Q. All right. That explains it. I'm trying to figure out how the captain gets all that.

MR. HENZES: When he started, may explain a

18 lot.

19 BY MR. PURICELLI:

Q. Why don't I ask you this, have any lawsuits other than the one Mr. Morganthal won, been brought against you in regards to any conduct you did in adjudicating or investigating allegations of misconduct by a state trooper?

25 A. Yes.

42

- Q. All right. What case is that?
- 2 A. I'm trying to recall the guy's name.
- 3 Q. The trooper's name?
- 4 A. No. The plaintiff's name.
- **Q.** Okay. Okay. Is that one of Don Bailey's cases,

6 too?

- 7 A. Yes, Gross Nicole.
- **Q.** What was the nature of that?
- A. He alleged that he made a complaint against a
 trooper and nothing was done to him.
 - Q. Was that, like, a stalking case?
 - A. That is what he alleged, yeah.
- 13 Q. You adjudicated that?
- 14 A. I did.
- 15 Q. Tell me what you recall of that?
- A. The trooper ended up getting eight days off,illegal use of the computer system.
- Q. The inappropriate use of the computer system wasactually looking up a license tag of Mr. Gross?
 - A. I don't remember what specific uses. I don't recall exactly. I don't recall it being a license plate.
- Q. Let me tell you some facts. You tell me if thoseare what we're talking about, the same case?
- 25 A. Go ahead.

Filed 10/01/10 Page 4 of 6

Q. The trooper is seeing a girl. The girl is seeing

another guy. The trooper learns that the girl is seeing

3 the guy. He runs his tag?

A. No.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

25

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q. Did you do any type of adjudication involving the trooper? Don Bailey is the attorney?

A. Don Bailey is the attorney. Your facts are similar but not quite. It wasn't the relationship. The past. It wasn't an ongoing one. The -- as I understand, the trooper texted her a couple of times.

And Mr. Grossnickle alleged that the trooper told thegirl at some point they did a check on him and didn't

13 find anything. From that point, he asked me to stop the

14 contact between the trooper. And his current wife15 didn't want the complaint made. Then he sent a

16 complaint to IAD.

When we checked the computers, the trooper's computer activity, he inappropriately used a computer including a criminal history check and inappropriate e-mails that went back and forth between various parties.

Q. In your course of adjudications, you became awarethat the permitted use, which you call the computer, theNCIC System?

A. The Enterprise Network.

Q. This trooper accessed those computers systems,

2 the Criminal Justice Systems for personal?

A. Correct.

4 Q. There was no ongoing criminal investigation,

5 correct, about law enforcement?

A. Correct.

Q. Is it your understanding that no person from anyagency can use the Criminal Justice data based on whatwe're talking about, for a personal reason?

A. I'd say that's accurate, yeah.

Q. And in the course of the process that you were involved in, to adjudicate Christopher Bush, was there a criminal investigation being done against him?

A. No. I don't think there was a criminal investigation initiated against him.

Q. Okay. A review of all of the documents that you have including the ones that you brought, since your involvement, did you come across any documents that indicated Christopher Bush was involved in any criminal investigation as a target?

A. Well, I think there are questions about whether or not there was criminal activity associated with his actions in this case at some point.

Q. I understand that there may be questions. Myquestion was more specific, though. Was there a

Case 2:07-cv-04936-MAM Document 55-1 criminal investigation started by the Pennsylvania State

2 Police in the activities of Christopher Bush?

A. Started in the activities of Christopher Bush? No. There was not one started in the activities of Christopher Bush.

Q. I understand that. So, under that theory then, the access to the same type of computer systems we're talking about would not have been permitted?

A. Not correct.

1

3

ó

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

74

23

10 Q. What exceptions do you know of?

> A. There was an ongoing criminal investigation related to Christopher Bush and his activities.

Q. What was that criminal investigation?

A. A report filed by David Bush concerning his allegation that his former wife was concealing the whereabouts of the children.

Q. The State Police did at some point make a determination that the wife leaving might be in violation of criminal law?

A. On the initial face of it, it was worthy of investigation, yes.

22 Q. Okay. And that would have been in both, all 23 other criminal statutes as well?

A. I'm sorry?

Q. The fact that the State Police had made a

Filed 10/01/10 Page 5 of 6 Q. In your review of the records, what conduct, if

2 any, would any of the attorneys involved with the Bush,

3 David Bush, Sara Bush involvement involve?

A. The court order I believe was obtained under fraudulent circumstances from Luzerne County.

6 Q. What Criminal Statute in your mind would have 7 been violated?

8 A. I don't know. I don't know if there was one, if 9 there is one but I believe it was worthy of an 10 investigation to obtain a court order under a court 11 order, excuse me, under fraudulent circumstances.

Q. Okay. Well, in your history and I don't know if I asked you this, how long have you been with the department but you're probably close to thirty years.

15 Is that fair?

1

5

12

13

14

16

20

21

24

17 Q. How many investigations have you personally 18 started where you have no idea whether there was or 19 wasn't a violation of a specific Criminal Statute?

A. Like?

A. Yes.

Q. I can identify a little more specific if you

22 want.

23 A. Please do, Counselor.

Q. Go to a scene, someone says my home was broken

25 into, trespassing, burglary, right?

46

determination that David Bush's report, I guess you're referring to the report investigated by Eric Jay Wizner.

3 Is that true?

4 A. Yes.

Q. I'm just trying to get the record clear.

And just so I'm clear, that would be the incident number "F" as in fox, 050891031. No sense going through all that?

A. Thank you, sir. Yes. That appears to be the same.

11 Q. That is the report that listed concealment of the 12 whereabouts of the child?

A. Correct.

Q. So we're clear for the record, the report that I just talked about by Wizner was a criminal investigation. And through that investigation, you

17 believed that doing the criminal history searches, use

of criminal data banks, okay, warranted or allowed at 18 19 least, searches of David Bush, David's brother

20 Christopher Bush and their attorneys?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And why was that?

A. Because of the course of the events that occurred during their investigation that they had uncovered and

24 25 felt it was reasonable. 1 A. On the surface, you do, yeah.

2 Q. You started an investigation because you want to

3 know if there was a trespass or a burglary?

4 A. Right.

5 Q. You get a call of a man urinating on the street.

6 You go out find a drunk in violation of public

7 drunkenness, disorderly conduct, open lewdness, correct?

A. Yes.

8

9

17

21

Q. Start an investigation, right?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. The person calls you and says someone took their

12 mail. That's a theft, right?

13 A. Right.

14 Q. You have a concept for some criminal conduct to 15 start an investigation, correct?

16 A. Correct.

Q. With that thinking, out of your vast experience 18 with the State Police, all the updates, the training you 19 had in the Crimes Code, what crime could you fathom was

20 being committed by the attorneys in getting an order?

A. Perjury, false swearing.

22 Q. And did you have any evidence, any evidence of 23 perjury testimony?

24

A. Did I? 25

Q. Yes. To start an investigation?

7

9

15

16

18

19

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. What?

3

ó

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

- A. They obtained a court order in Luzerne County for not having any jurisdiction for the court to see that.
 - Q. What does perjury require?
- A. To make a false statement to the court, essentially, to lie to the court.
- **Q.** Okay. And starting an investigation, what was false that was said?
- A. I don't know if there was a false statement. The result of what may have been a false statement was a court order obtained under fraudulent circumstances.
- **Q.** How many investigations prior to the one we're talking about with Wizner, have you been involved in where the State Police looked into how a court order came to get issued or revoked?
- A. I don't know.
- 18 Q. How many can you recall ever knowing about other19 than this one?
 - A. Let's go back to the specific question and hit me with that question again.
 - **Q.** Sure. How many cases have you personally been involved in where you investigated a court order, either how it was issued or obtained and how it was dismissed?
 - A. I don't know. I don't know.
- 50

- Q. Can you recall any?A. Well, when you sa
 - A. Well, when you say investigate, reviewed, study court orders? Do I recall any that were obtained under fraudulent circumstances? Is that a fair question?
- **Q.** No. I'm asking you how many criminal
- ${f 6}$ investigations you were involved in where the subject of
- 7 the investigation other than this one we're talking
- 8 about, involved a court order and how it was issued or9 dismissed?
- 10 A. I don't know that I recall any.
- 11 Q. Now, I asked you whether you were involved. How
- 12 many as a Major and use all your experience from
- 13 whatever source you obtained that information, was
- 14 involved in a State Police investigation into a court
- 15 order and how it was obtained or dismissed?
- 16 A. I don't know that I recall any.
- 17 Q. So, the only one we can recall and talk about
- 18 today is the one involving David Bush?
- 19 A. That is what I recall, yes.
 - **Q.** Okay. Now, we stopped with Grossnickels. Are there any other? Let me exclude some of the -- use of force would be someone saying you used force when it
- 23 wasn't necessary, right?
- 24 A. Right.
- 25 Q. Forget those.

- A. Okay.
- Q. Wrongful death. Someone said you should havedone something, right?

51

52

- A. I'm sorry.
- Q. For the wrongful death claim, somebody saying youdidn't do something that you should and somebody died?
 - A. Or I did something.
- 8 MR. HENZES: Wrongful death falls under use
 - of force.
- 10 BY MR. PURICELLI:
- Q. Let's forget that one. Did they say you somehowused force and this person is being deprived of theirright to life?
- 14 A. Yeah. I believe part and parcel.
 - **Q.** It's a leap for me to guess, is what I'm assuming that ended well with you?
- 17 A. It did.
 - **Q.** Has there been any adjudication in a court against you?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. All right. That is fair enough. And aside fromthe one retaliation claim, there is no other claims
- 23 against you where it's alleged you took action or didn't
- 24 take action to retaliate for someone's activity such as
- 25 reporting misconduct or reporting a trooper if they were
- 1 doing something wrong aside from this lawsuit?
 - 2 A. No.
 - Q. And the --
 - 4 MR. HENZES: The Gross Nichols, what is the 5 quy's first name?
 - 6 THE WITNESS: It's Jerry. I think it's
 - **7** Jerry.

15

21

- 8 MR. HENZES: I actually know a Joe.
- 9 THE WITNESS: I think it's Jerry, Counselor.
- MR. HENZES: Was this up in Williamsport?
- MR. PURICELLI: It's a Don Bailey case.
- 12 BY MR. PURICELLI:
- Q. Have there ever been any complaints filed againstyou for, by other troopers alleging misconduct?
 - A. By other troopers?
- Q. Everybody is a trooper in my book. Everybody isa trooper, so I don't know.
- 18 A. Any other members of the department?
- 19 Q. Correct.
- 20 A. Not that I recall.
 - Q. Have you ever been the subject of an Internal
- 22 Affairs investigation?
- 23 A. Yes. I --
- **Q.** Were those investigations that involved all these
- 25 lawsuits?

20